

842035-R1
FCC Victorville

You are requesting administrative relief regarding the decision of the Discipline Hearing Officer (DHO) on October 21, 2015, in which you were found to have committed the prohibited act of Interfering with Staff (High Severity) most like Threatening Another Person, Code 298 most like 203.

On appeal, the appropriate reviewing official may approve, modify, reverse, or send back with directions, including ordering a rehearing, any discipline action of the Unit Discipline Committee (UDC) or DHO, but may not increase any valid sanction imposed.


We are directing the DHO to rehear the matter referenced above.

After receipt of the final report, should you wish to further appeal this action, you must first submit your appeal to the appropriate level (institution level for UDC actions and regional office level for DHO actions). You should also include a copy of this response with your appeal to explain any delay in filing.

This response is for informational purposes only.

If dissatisfied with this response, you may appeal to the Office of the General Counsel, Bureau of Prisons, 320 First Street, NW, Washington, D.C., 20534. Your appeal must be received in the General Counsel's Office within 30 calendar days of the date of this response.

12/7/15
Date


Mary M. Mitchell, Regional Director

Attachment

After nine months at this facility and zero doctor's appointments I submitted six sick call slips to PA-C B. Wolverton for issues that piled up due to her neglectful medical malpractices. After informing her of my intention to write about my experience online, which I have every right to do, I was given a 298 for conduct "most like threatening bodily injury."

I have every right to write about Wolverton and state my intention to do so. I also have the right to threaten to expose her if she does not give me what I want, as long as I have a valid claim of right to what I want. See U.S. v. Jackson (180 F.3d 55) "the purchaser of a defective product [or service] may threaten to complain...if the manufacturer does not make good on its warranty; Or...enlist the aid of a television 'on-the-side-of-the-consumer' program...or a private club may threaten to post a list of the club's members who have not yet paid their dues" and Levitt v. Yelp! Inc. (765 F.3d 1123) "the threat of economic harm that Yelp leveraged is, at most, hard bargaining." I have a valid claim of right to health care and DHO Elliott agreed that I do, but found me guilty anyway.

Because I am being deprived of medical care I have the right to write accurate negative reviews of the health care services at this facility, research the individual subjects covered in the writings, and use the resulting work in promotional material for my website NoLimitList.com. The site features, among many other things, sections for posting reviews of health care services and police. I have the right to use my own services and threaten to use those services against any staff members whose failures to do their jobs properly infringe on my rights.

In Wolverton's case I will document the fact that I am far from her only victim. When I thought she was evil other inmates came to her defense saying "she is not evil, just incompetent" and multiple eyewitnesses report seeing her stumbling down the hallways in an obviously intoxicated state. They say she is an "old drunk lady" whose incompetence is "dangerous". I have every right to write what I know, give her the chance to improve how she appears in the work by doing her job better not just for me but for everyone else on her case load, and to use my skills as a professional search engine optimizer to push my work to the top of Google whenever anyone types in her name for the rest of her life.

I will be pursuing administrative remedies as I await your response. My web server is temporarily offline and won't be back up until at least May most likely.